Latanoprostene Bunod 0.024% in Subjects With Open-angle Glaucoma or Ocular Hypertension: Pooled Phase 3 Study Findings
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Purpose: To compare the diurnal intraocular pressure (IOP)-lowering effect of latanoprostene bunod (LBN) 0.024% with timolol maleate 0.5% in subjects with open-angle glaucoma (OAG) or ocular hypertension (OHT).

Patients and Methods: Pooled analysis of two phase 3, randomized, multicenter, double-masked, parallel-group, noninferiority trials (APOLLO and LUNAR), each with open-label safety extension phases. Adults with OAG or OHT were randomized 2:1 to double-masked treatment with LBN once daily (qd) or timolol twice daily (bid) for 3 months followed by open-label LBN treatment for 3 (LUNAR) or 9 (APOLLO) months. IOP was measured at 8 AM, 12 PM, and 4 PM at week 2, week 6, and months 3, 6, 9, and 12.

Results: Of the 840 subjects randomized, 774 (LBN, n = 253; timolol crossover to LBN, n = 251) completed the efficacy phase, and 738 completed the safety extension phase. Mean IOP was significantly lower with LBN versus timolol at all 9 evaluation timepoints during the efficacy phase (P < 0.001). A significantly greater proportion of LBN-treated subjects attained a mean IOP ≤ 18 mm Hg and IOP reduction ≥ 25% from baseline versus timolol-treated subjects (P < 0.001). The IOP reduction with LBN was sustained through the safety phase; subjects crossed over from timolol to LBN experienced additional significant IOP lowering (P ≤ 0.009). Both treatments were well tolerated, and there were no safety concerns with long-term LBN treatment.

Conclusions: In this pooled analysis of subjects with OAG and OHT, LBN 0.024% qd provided greater IOP-lowering compared with timolol 0.5% bid and maintained lowered IOP through 12 months. LBN demonstrated a safety profile comparable to that of prostaglandin analogs.
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was shown to be more effective in reducing IOP compared with latanoprost 0.005% in a phase 2 dose-ranging study in patients with OAG or OHT (VOYAGER). Given that latanoprost reached its maximal IOP-lowering effect at a concentration of 0.005%, the additional IOP-lowering activity of latanoprost reached its maximal IOP-lowering effect at a concentration of 0.005%. The addition of open-label studies in Japanese populations demonstrated that LBN 0.024% provided robust 24-hour IOP lowering and sustained reductions in IOP during use through 1 year in subjects with low baseline IOP (KRONUS) and sustained nocturnal IOP in subjects with OAG or OHT (JUPITER).

The APOLLO and LUNAR studies were nearly identical in design, phase 3 efficacy, and safety studies of LBN 0.024% in patients with OAG or OHT. Each consisting of a 3-month active (timolol) comparator double-masked efficacy phase followed by a 9-month (APOLLO) or 3-month (LUNAR) open-label safety extension phase with LBN 0.024% only. Individual study results for the 3-month double-masked efficacy phase of APOLLO and LUNAR have been published and demonstrated noninferiority of LBN 0.024% once daily (qd) in the evening to timolol 0.5% twice daily (bid). The APOLLO study also showed significantly greater IOP lowering at all 9 timepoints assessed over 3 months of treatment with LBN 0.024% qd versus timolol 0.5% bid, while the LUNAR study showed significantly greater IOP lowering with LBN 0.024% qd versus timolol 0.5% bid at 8 of 9 timepoints assessed. Here, we report a pooled analysis of the IOP-lowering effect of LBN 0.024% in the active-comparator double-masked efficacy through the open-label safety extension phases of these 2 studies, as well as safety findings over the entirety of the 2 studies.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Design

This is a pooled analysis of data from two phase 3, randomized, multicenter, double-masked, parallel-group, noninferiority clinical trials with an open-label safety extension phase: the APOLLO study (NCT01749904) and the LUNAR study (NCT01749930). These studies were conducted at 91 unique sites (APOLLO, 45 sites; LUNAR, 46 sites) in the United States and the EU. Both studies consisted of a 3-month, double-masked efficacy phase followed by an open-label safety extension phase for 3 months in the LUNAR study and 9 months in the APOLLO study (Fig. 1). Institutional Review Board/Ethics Committee approval was obtained at each participating site. Details of the study methods and independent findings for the double-masked efficacy phase of these studies have been previously reported.

Subjects

Each study enrolled subjects 18 years of age and above with OAG or OHT in 1 or both eyes. Eligible subjects had a mean IOP ≥ 24 mm Hg in 1 eye, and ≤ 36 mm Hg in both eyes at all 3 measurement timepoints (8 AM, 12 PM, 4 PM) at baseline. The baseline visit occurred following a washout period in subjects receiving topical hypotensive treatment at enrollment (maximum of 28 ± 5 d). Subjects were also required to have a best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of +0.7 logMAR units or better in at least 1 eye. Additional inclusion/exclusion details were described previously.

Treatment

For the 3-month double-masked efficacy phase, subjects were randomized 2:1 to LBN 0.024% instilled qd in the evening (~8 PM) and vehicle qd in the morning (~8 AM) or timolol 0.5% instilled bid. The investigator, study personnel, and subjects were masked to treatment. Following assessment at month 3, all subjects continued with open-label LBN 0.024% qd for the safety extension phase, which was 9 months (APOLLO) or 3 months (LUNAR) in duration. Subjects previously on treatment with timolol 0.5% bid were crossed over to treatment with open-label LBN 0.024% qd in the evening in the safety extension phase.

Assessments

Subjects completed 3 study visits during the double-masked efficacy phase (week 2, week 6, month 3) and every 3 months thereafter through month 12 (APOLLO) or month 6 (LUNAR) during the open-label safety extension phase. IOP was measured in both eyes at 8 AM, 12 PM, and 4 PM at each study visit using a Goldmann applanation tonometer. Whenever possible, the same operator measured IOP at each visit using the same tonometer. Safety assessments were conducted on an ongoing basis throughout the study and included vital sign measurements, BCVA, conjunctival hyperemia assessment, slit-lamp examination, gonioscopy, ophthalmoscopy, and treatment-emergent adverse events (hereafter, “AEs”). In addition, conjunctival hyperemia was graded at each visit on a scale from 1 (none) to 4 (severe) using photographic standards. Additional assessment details were described previously.

Endpoints

The primary efficacy endpoint was the IOP in the study eye measured at 8 AM, 12 PM, and 4 PM at week 2, week 6, and month 3 of double-masked treatment. Secondary efficacy endpoints included: the proportion of subjects with IOP ≤ 18 mm Hg at all 9 timepoints in the first 3 months; the proportion of subjects with IOP reduction ≥ 25% at all 9 timepoints in the first 3 months; the change in mean diurnal IOP (defined as the average of IOP measurements at 8 AM, 12 PM, and 4 PM) from prerandomization baseline to months 3, 6, 9, and 12; and, for subjects randomized to timolol 0.5% and crossed over to LBN, the change in mean diurnal IOP from month 3 to months 6, 9, and 12 of open-label treatment. Mean percent reductions from baseline in diurnal IOP, and the proportion of subjects with IOPs ≤ 18, ≤ 17, ≤ 16, ≤ 15, and ≤ 14 mm Hg at the month 3 visit were post hoc endpoints.

Safety endpoints were: the incidence of ocular and systemic AEs as well as ocular AEs of special interest (changes in iris pigmentation, eyelid pigmentation, and eyelash growth); vital signs; BCVA; conjunctival hyperemia assessment; and slit-lamp examination, gonioscopy, and ophthalmoscopy.

Statistical Analysis

Primary efficacy analyses were performed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), with missing data imputed using the last observation carried forward (LOCF). The ANCOVA model included fixed effect terms for study, baseline IOP, and treatment, and baseline IOP as a covariate. The 2 study treatments were compared for each timepoint by visit, and the least squares (LS) mean, difference in
LS mean (LBN ophthalmic solution 0.024% minus timolol 0.5%), and the 2-sided 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the mean difference were obtained. Noninferiority was determined if the upper limit of the CIs for the difference did not exceed 1.5 mm Hg at all 9 timepoints and did not exceed 1.0 mm Hg for ≥5 of the 9 timepoints. If noninferiority was determined, superiority at each timepoint was demonstrated if the upper limit of the 95% CI did not exceed 0 mm Hg at all 9 timepoints. The primary efficacy analysis was performed in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population, which consisted of all randomized subjects who instilled ≥1 dose of study drug and had ≥1 postbaseline IOP assessment, and was repeated in the per-protocol population (PP), which comprised all subjects in the ITT population who remained in the study through month 3.

Secondary endpoints of proportions of subjects with IOP ≤18 mm Hg or with IOP reductions ≥25% at all of the 9 timepoints during the efficacy phase were based on the ITT population with LOCF. The 2-sided 95% CI around the difference in proportions (LBN ophthalmic solution 0.024% minus timolol 0.5%) and the P-value from Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test adjusted by study factor were determined, with multiplicity of the P-values adjusted by using Hochberg’s method at 0.05 2-sided level.

Analysis of IOP-lowering efficacy in the safety extension phase was based on the ITT population using observed data. For each treatment group, the reduction from baseline in mean diurnal IOP were evaluated using an analysis of variance model with a fixed study factor for data at months 3 and 6 (and for completeness, weeks 2 and 6 of the efficacy phase), and using a 2-sided \( t \) test for data at months 9 and 12. In addition, for subjects randomized to timolol in the efficacy phase who were crossed over to LBN 0.024%, the change from month 3 diurnal IOP was evaluated using a 2-sided paired \( t \) test.

Least squares mean percent reductions from baseline in mean diurnal IOP at 3 months, a post hoc endpoint, were determined for the ITT population and compared using ANCOVA with treatment as a fixed effect and baseline as covariate. The proportion of subjects with IOPs ≤18, ≤17, ≤16, ≤15, and ≤14 mm Hg at the month 3 visit, also a post hoc endpoint, was based on subjects attaining the target IOP at ≥1 of the 3 assessment timepoints (8 AM, 12 PM, 4 PM) at that visit. Differences between treatment groups were analyzed in the study population with LOCF using a Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test adjusted by study factor.

All efficacy analyses used a 2-sided \( \alpha = 0.05 \) test. Safety analyses were conducted in the safety population, which consisted of all subjects who received ≥1 dose of study drug. Safety data were reported according to received, rather than assigned, treatment. All safety data were summarized using descriptive statistics or categorically (conjunctival hyperemia). AEs were coded according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) terminology, and were summarized by severity (mild, moderate, severe) and relationship to study drug (unrelated, unlikely, possibly, probably, definitely). AEs with unknown severity were counted as severe and with unknown relationship to study drug were counted as probably related to study drug.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software (SAS Institute Inc.) version 9.2 or higher.

RESULTS

Subjects
Of the 1435 subjects screened across the 2 studies, 840 were randomized (LBN 0.024%, \( n = 569 \); timolol 0.5% crossover to LBN 0.024%, \( n = 271 \)). A total of 832 subjects received at least 1 instillation of drug and comprised the pooled safety population (LBN 0.024%, \( n = 561 \); timolol 0.5% crossover to LBN 0.024%, \( n = 271 \)) and 831 subjects were included in the pooled ITT population (LBN 0.024%, \( n = 562 \); timolol 0.5% crossover to LBN 0.024%, \( n = 269 \)). Of subjects in the ITT population, 774 [LBN 0.024%, \( n = 523 \) (93.1%); timolol 0.5% crossover to LBN 0.024%, \( n = 562 \); timolol 0.5% crossover to LBN 0.024%, \( n = 269 \)] completed the efficacy phase and 738 [LBN 0.024%, \( n = 503 \) (89.5%); timolol 0.5% crossover to LBN 0.024%, \( n = 235 \) (87.4%)] completed both the efficacy and safety extension phase. The most frequent reasons for discontinuation were AE [LBN 0.024%, \( n = 12 \) (2.1%); timolol 0.5% crossover to LBN 0.024%, \( n = 12 \) (4.5%)]; withdrawal of consent [LBN 0.024%, \( n = 12 \) (2.1%); timolol 0.5% crossover to LBN 0.024%, \( n = 6 \) (2.2%)]; and failure to follow the required study procedures [LBN 0.024%, \( n = 10 \) (1.8%); timolol 0.5% crossover to LBN 0.024%, \( n = 4 \) (1.5%)]. Other reasons for discontinuation occurred in ≤1.3% of subjects in total.

Demographics in the pooled ITT population were similar across treatment groups (Table 1). Subjects had a mean (SD) age of 64.5 (10.2) years and were predominantly White (74.4%) and female (58.2%). Most patients (72.0%)


**TABLE 1. Subject Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT Population)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Randomization Group, Double-masked Phase</th>
<th>LBN 0.024% (n = 562)</th>
<th>Timolol Maleate 0.5% (n = 269)</th>
<th>Total (N = 831)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y) Mean (SD)</td>
<td>64.9 (10.04)</td>
<td>63.7 (10.47)</td>
<td>64.5 (10.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>65.0 (22-88)</td>
<td>65.0 (23-88)</td>
<td>65.0 (22-88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group [n (%)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65y</td>
<td>265 (47.2)</td>
<td>130 (48.3)</td>
<td>395 (47.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65 y to &lt;75 y</td>
<td>207 (36.8)</td>
<td>99 (36.8)</td>
<td>306 (36.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75 y</td>
<td>90 (16.0)</td>
<td>40 (14.9)</td>
<td>130 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>234 (41.6)</td>
<td>113 (42.0)</td>
<td>347 (41.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>328 (58.4)</td>
<td>156 (58.0)</td>
<td>484 (58.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race [n (%)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>421 (74.9)</td>
<td>197 (73.2)</td>
<td>618 (74.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>133 (23.7)</td>
<td>70 (26.0)</td>
<td>203 (24.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>5 (0.9)</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>7 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity [n (%)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic and non-Latino</td>
<td>496 (88.3)</td>
<td>237 (88.1)</td>
<td>733 (88.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment-naive [n (%)]*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>165 (29.4)</td>
<td>68 (25.3)</td>
<td>233 (28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>397 (70.6)</td>
<td>201 (74.7)</td>
<td>598 (72.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline ocular characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal thickness (µm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>548.2 (31.43)</td>
<td>550.3 (31.82)</td>
<td>548.9 (31.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min, max</td>
<td>409, 599</td>
<td>436, 599</td>
<td>409, 599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not under treatment with an intraocular pressure-lowering medication within 30 days of the screening visit.

ITT indicates intent-to-treat; LBN, latanoprostene bunod.

were taking a topical IOP-lowering medication at screening or had used IOP-lowering medication within 30 days of the screening visit and, therefore, participated in a washout. As previously reported,33,34 the most common prior ophthalmic medications were PGAs followed by beta-blockers and carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. The mean (SD) diurnal IOP at baseline was 26.7 (2.43) mm Hg in subjects randomized to LBN 0.024% qd and 26.5 (2.35) mm Hg in subjects randomized to timolol 0.5% bid.

**Efficacy**

**Primary Efficacy Endpoint**

During the double-masked efficacy phase, the LS mean of IOP in the study eye was significantly lower in the LBN 0.024% qd group (range, 17.8 to 18.9 mm Hg) than in the timolol 0.5% bid group (range, 19.0 to 19.7 mm Hg) at all timepoints measured (8 AM, 12 PM, and 4 PM) at week 2, week 6, and month 3 (P<0.001 at all timepoints; Fig. 2). The upper limits of the 95% CIs for between-treatment comparisons of LS means of mean IOP were <0 mm Hg at all timepoints and visits, demonstrating not only non-inferiority but also superiority of LBN 0.024% compared with timolol 0.5% for IOP lowering. Results in the PP population were consistent with those for the ITT population.

**Secondary Efficacy Endpoints**

A significantly greater percentage of subjects treated with LBN 0.024% versus timolol 0.5% had their IOP reduced to ≤18 mm Hg at all of the 9 evaluation timepoints during the first 3 months of treatment (20.2% vs. 11.2%, P = 0.001). In addition, the percentage of subjects with IOP reduction ≥25% from baseline at all 9 timepoints during the first 3 months of treatment was significantly greater in the LBN 0.024% group compared with the timolol 0.5% group (32.9% vs. 19.0%, P < 0.001).

Figure 3 presents mean diurnal IOP at baseline and at each study visit of the double-masked efficacy phase through the open-label safety extension phase. There were statistically significant reductions from baseline in mean diurnal IOP for both treatment groups at all study visits during both phases of the study (P<0.001 vs. baseline for all visits, both treatments). Subjects assigned to LBN 0.024% during the double-masked efficacy phase maintained consistently lowered IOP during the open-label safety extension phase with a mean (SD) diurnal IOP of 18.1 (2.9), 18.2 (3.3), and 17.9 (3.0) mm Hg at months 6, 9, and 12, respectively, of the open-label extension phase, compared with 18.1 (2.9) at month 3 of the efficacy phase. Corresponding reductions from baseline were 8.6 (3.0), 8.5 (3.5), and 8.8 (3.2) mm Hg at months 6, 9, and 12 (open-label extension phase), respectively, and 8.6 (3.0) mm Hg at month 3 (efficacy phase). Subjects randomized to timolol 0.5% in the double-masked efficacy phase demonstrated an additional decrease in mean diurnal IOP when crossed over to LBN 0.024% in the open-label safety extension phase that was maintained through the open-label extension phase. Mean (SD) diurnal IOP in these subjects was 18.0 (3.5), 17.6 (2.7), and 17.6 (2.6) at months 6, 9, and 12, respectively (open-label extension phase), compared with 18.1 (2.9) at month 3 of the efficacy phase. Corresponding reductions from baseline were 8.3 (3.3), 8.7 (2.8), and 8.7 (3.0) at months 6, 9, and 12, respectively (open-label extension phase), compared with 7.3 (2.9) mm Hg at month 3. The additional reduction in IOP at these visits, ranging in 1.1 to 1.2 mm Hg IOP lowering from the last on-timolol treatment at month 3, was statistically significant (P<0.009 for all vs. month 3).

Further analysis of IOP lowering at 3 months (the last visit of the double-masked efficacy phase) showed LS mean percent reductions from baseline in mean diurnal IOP for subjects randomized to LBN 0.024% and timolol 0.5%, respectively, were 32.0% and 27.6% at month 3 (P<0.001 for the difference). During the safety extension phase, percent reductions from baseline were similar to the percent reduction reported for treatment with LBN at month 3.

The proportion of subjects attaining IOP targets ranging from ≤18 to ≤14 mm Hg at the month 3 visit, a post hoc endpoint, were significantly greater with LBN 0.024% treatment compared with timolol 0.5% treatment. Specifically, respective proportions were 71.9% versus 60.2%, 60.1% versus 41.6%, 46.8% versus 33.5%, 31.0% versus 19.0%, and 19.0% versus 9.3% for subjects attaining targets of ≤18, ≤17, ≤16, ≤15, and ≤14 mm Hg, respectively, at the month 3 visit (P<0.001 for all).

**Safety**

**Duration of Exposure**

The mean (SD) duration of exposure for subjects treated with LBN 0.024% was 90.3 (16.0) days during the double-masked efficacy phase and 231.9 (110.1) days for the
entire study duration. During the efficacy phase of the study, the mean duration of exposure for subjects treated with timolol 0.5% was 90.4 (16.7) days.

**AEs**

Ocular AEs that occurred in the study eye of ≥ 2% of subjects in any treatment group for the pooled safety population are summarized in Table 2. For subjects who received timolol during the efficacy phase and crossed over to LBN in the safety extension phase, AEs reported after the first dose of LBN 0.024% were counted in association with LBN. The most common ocular AE in the study eye for subjects during treatment with LBN 0.024% was conjunctival hyperemia (5.9% of subjects; timolol 0.5%, 1.1% of subjects). The majority of ocular AEs occurring in the study eye were considered at least possibly related to study drug in the LBN (80.2%) and timolol (86.5%) groups. Most ocular AEs occurring in the study eye were mild to moderate in severity in the LBN (97.0%) and timolol 0.5% (97.3%) groups. During treatment with LBN 0.024%, a total of 6 ocular AEs in the study eye were considered severe: blepharospasm, conjunctival hyperemia, allergic conjunctivitis, retinal vein occlusion, intraocular pressure increased, and eyelid tumor, occurring in 1 subject (0.1%) each. During treatment with timolol 0.5%, 1 severe ocular AE in the study eye, instillation site pain, was reported for 1 subject (0.4%).

As observed with the study eye, the most common AE in the treated fellow eye during LBN 0.024% treatment was...
There were few study discontinuations secondary to AEs. During LBN 0.024% treatment, ocular AEs in the study eye that led to discontinuation in 11 (1.4%) subjects included: increased IOP (n = 3); ocular hyperemia (n = 2); and 1 event each of conjunctival irritation, conjunctival edema, allergic conjunctivitis, eye irritation, eye pain, foreign body sensation, punctate keratitis, instillation site hypersensitivity, vision blurred, and rash. Study eye ocular AEs leading to discontinuation in 4 (1.5%) subjects during treatment with timolol 0.5% included 1 event each of allergic conjunctivitis, eye allergy, eye irritation, and eyelid edema.

Five (0.6%) subjects in the LBN 0.024% group and 1 (0.4%) in the timolol 0.5% group had at least 1 nonocular AE leading to discontinuation. Nonocular AEs leading to discontinuation in the LBN 0.024% group included chest discomfort, fatigue, malignant lung neoplasm, dizziness, headache, insomnia, and dyspnea; one subject in the timolol 0.5% discontinued the study due to nonocular AEs of dizziness, headache, and somnolence.

Vital sign measurements and BCVA were comparable between treatment groups during the efficacy phase and did not vary across study visits. No safety concerns emerged during the safety extension phase based on vital signs, BCVA, ocular signs, or gonioscopy results.

### Conjunctival Hyperemia

At baseline, 33% to 34% of study eyes were assessed by investigators as having conjunctival hyperemia, mostly mild-to-moderate. During the efficacy phase, there was an increase from baseline in the proportion of subjects in the LBN 0.024% group with any hyperemia and moderate or severe hyperemia based on investigator assessments which persisted through the safety extension phase (Table 3). In contrast, the proportions of subjects with any conjunctival hyperemia and with moderate or severe conjunctival hyperemia in the study eye increased only slightly from baseline during the efficacy phase in the timolol group 0.5% but then increased further following crossover to LBN 0.5%. Few subjects, ranging from none to a maximum of 3

### Table 3. Percent of Subjects With Conjunctival Hyperemia per Investigator Assessment in the Study Eye (Safety Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LBN 0.024% (n = 561)</th>
<th>Timolol 0.5% Crossover to LBN 0.024% (n = 271)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efficacy phase</td>
<td>Safety extension phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Month 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.6 / 3.6</td>
<td>48.7 / 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49.0 / 7.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47.4 / 9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.5 / 7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48.7 / 7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44.7 / 9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44.2 / 8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages are based on the numbers of subjects with nonmissing assessments.

*There were no more than 3 subjects (≤0.5%) at any time with severe hyperemia (<0.5%) during treatment with LBN; no subjects had severe hyperemia during treatment with timolol.

LBN indicates latanoprostene bunod.

### Conclusions

The results of this study confirm those of other studies which have found that LBN is safe and well tolerated in patients with open-angle glaucoma. The safety and tolerability profile of LBN was similar to that of timolol, and AEs were consistent with the expected adverse events associated with the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study materials.

### Table 2. Ocular AEs Occurring in ≥2% of Study Eyes in Either Treatment Group (Safety Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>LBN 0.024% (N = 811)</th>
<th>Timolol 0.5% (N = 271)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥1 ocular AE</td>
<td>172 (21.6%)</td>
<td>34 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1 treatment-related ocular AE</td>
<td>144 (17.8%)</td>
<td>30 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye disorders</td>
<td>152 (18.7%)</td>
<td>30 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival hyperemia</td>
<td>48 (5.9%)</td>
<td>3 (1.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye irritation</td>
<td>37 (4.6%)</td>
<td>7 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye pain</td>
<td>29 (3.6%)</td>
<td>6 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular hyperemia</td>
<td>16 (2.0%)</td>
<td>2 (0.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General disorders and administration site conditions</td>
<td>20 (2.5%)</td>
<td>6 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instillation site pain</td>
<td>16 (2.0%)</td>
<td>5 (1.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For subjects who received timolol during the efficacy phase and crossed over to LBN in the safety extension phase, AEs reported after the first dose of LBN ophthalmic solution 0.024% are presented in association with LBN ophthalmic solution.

AE indicates treatment-emergent adverse event; LBN, latanoprostene bunod.
(≤ 0.5%) had severe conjunctival hyperemia at any visit during treatment with LBN 0.024%, while no subjects had conjunctival hyperemia considered severe during treatment with timolol 0.5%.

**DISCUSSION**

Pooling data from nearly identical phase 3 trials offers a robust assessment of the safety and IOP-lowering potential of LBN, a novel NO-donating prostaglandin F2α analog. These data provide both a controlled comparison against an active comparator, timolol, as well as long-term safety data for LBN 0.024% for up to 12 months of use. In the pooled analysis, LBN 0.024% instilled qd in the evening was superior to timolol 0.5% instilled bid in reducing IOP over 3 months of treatment in subjects with OAG or OHT. In addition, a greater proportion of subjects attained a mean IOP ≤ 18 mm Hg consistently across all 9 timepoints or IOP reductions ≥ 25% from baseline across all 9 timepoints with LBN 0.024% compared with timolol 0.5%. At month 3, the last evaluation timepoint during double-masked treatment, the mean percent reduction from baseline with LBN was 32.0%. The mean percent reduction with timolol at this same timepoint was 27.6% and consistent with results reported for prior studies with this beta-blocker.35,38 In agreement with the JUPITER study,11 pooled results from the open-label safety extension phases demonstrated that IOP reduction with LBN 0.024% was maintained through 12 months, with no apparent loss of IOP-lowering effect over time. Subjects initially randomized to timolol 0.5% in the double-masked phase and crossed over to LBN 0.024% in the open-label phase demonstrated an additional 1.2 mm Hg decrease in mean diurnal IOP after switching to LBN that was significant and likewise sustained throughout the safety extension phase.

Intraocular pressure-lowering is a primary management strategy in patients with OAG or patients with OHT at risk for developing OAG, and is the only modifiable risk factor. While pharmacotherapy is not the only strategy for lowering IOP, PGAs or beta-blockers are commonly used as initial therapy.7 The magnitude of desired IOP reduction, or target IOP, needs to be individualized for each patient, taking into account baseline IOP, age, and clinical status, and presence of glaucoma-induced structural damage. In this pooled analysis of more than 500 subjects treated with LBN 0.024% in the efficacy through the safety extension phases, IOP reductions of up to 9 mm Hg were observed from a mean baseline IOP of ~27 mm Hg. At the 3-month visit, the mean percentage reduction from baseline in LBN-treated subjects was 32% and the majority (72%) of subjects reached a target IOP ≤ 18 mm Hg.

Although sustained IOP lowering has been demonstrated with latanoprost over follow-up periods as long as 5 years,37–39 many patients will require additional therapies over the long term to maintain target IOP.1,8,9 LBN offers a novel dual mechanism of action, namely, the well-known activity of latanoprost along with the pharmacologic effect from the NO-donating moiety. The pharmacological benefits of NO are consistent with the documented role of NO in regulating IOP homeostasis.24,40 Studies indicate that NO activates the soluble-guanylyl cyclase/cyclic guanosine monophosphate signaling pathway, triggering numerous downstream processes that relax and enhance the permeability of cells in the TM and Schlemm’s canal.23,31 The triggered activity includes, among many other mechanisms, Rho-kinase and overall Rho pathway inhibition.24,42 Activation of the soluble-guanylyl cyclase/cyclic guanosine monophosphate signaling pathway by the NO moiety is thought to be responsible for the additive IOP-lowering effect of LBN compared with latanoprost observed in various animal models of glaucoma and/or OHT,10 and in a well-controlled dose-ranging study in patients with OAG and OHT.4

Safety is an important consideration for long-term IOP-lowering drug therapy. Topical PGAs have an excellent safety profile with regard to systemic side effects. Ocular side effects can include conjunctival hyperemia, increases in iris pigmentation, elongation and darkening of the eyelashes, periorcular skin pigmentation, and ocular surface effects or irritation.43,44 This pooled analysis showed that LBN 0.024% demonstrated a high degree of safety through 12 months of treatment. LBN exhibited a safety profile typical of topical PGA therapy, with mainly mild-to-moderate ocular AEs and no systemic SAEs considered treatment-related. No new ocular AEs were identified and the overall frequency of ocular AEs was comparable to other PGAs.45,44 The most common ocular AEs in the study in the LBN and timolol treatment groups were conjunctival hyperemia, eye irritation, and eye pain. The lack of clinically significant systemic side effects and of significant changes in laboratory parameters also suggests that the NO-donating moiety of LBN 0.024% ophthalmic solution does not alter the safety profile of this novel PGA.

Ocular AEs of special interest (changes in iris pigmentation, eyelid pigmentation, and eyelash growth) were uncommon in the phase 3 study populations. Although these AEs may be more apparent with long-term use of PGAs, the observed low incidence during exposure to LBN 0.024% in this pooled analysis suggests that there is no increased risk of these AEs with LBN 0.024% ophthalmic solution relative to other PGAs.43,44 However, the findings in these studies contrast with those of the JUPITER study of LBN 0.024% qd in Japanese patients with OAG or OHT, in which 10.0% of study eyes and 8.8% of treated fellow eyes had a clear increase in iris pigmentation from baseline to week 52.11 Differences in ethnic populations may have contributed to the greater iris pigmentation observed in the JUPITER study. In addition, iris pigmentation in the JUPITER study was assessed based on analysis of photographs taken at baseline and week 52, whereas the current study captured changes in iris pigmentation that were recorded as ocular AEs. Further study is warranted to better understand potential differences of these AEs among study populations.

In both APOLLO and LUNAR studies, conjunctival hyperemia was assessed by investigators at each visit using a photographic reference scale. Consistent with individual study findings, approximately one third of subjects in the pooled data set had conjunctival hyperemia at baseline, mostly mild to moderate, before treatment initiation. An increase in hyperemia relative to baseline was noted by week 2 among subjects assigned to LBN 0.024% without much further increase beyond that point. Among subjects initially randomized to timolol, hyperemia did not increase from baseline during 3 months of timolol treatment, but did increase initially following crossover to LBN 0.024%. These findings are not surprising given that conjunctival hyperemia is a common side effect of PGA therapy.43,44 Very few (≤ 0.5%) subjects had conjunctival hyperemia rated as severe during treatment with LBN 0.024%.

The current study measured IOP only during daytime hours (8 AM, 12 PM, and 4 PM) and therefore did not assess potential differences between LBN 0.024% and timolol 0.5%
in controlling nocturnal IOP levels. Latanoprost has been shown to reduce nocturnal IOP relative to both baseline and to timolol in patients with OHT or early glaucomatous changes, whereas timolol failed to reduce nocturnal IOP.\(^5\)

Similarly, in a phase 2, randomized crossover study in 25 subjects, 40 to 90 years of age, with OAG or OHT, LBN 0.024%, but not timolol 0.5%, decreased nocturnal IOP relative to baseline.\(^3\) Further, LBN 0.024% significantly decreased nocturnal IOP compared with timolol.

**CONCLUSIONS**

These pooled findings demonstrate that the NO-donating prostaglandin F2\(\alpha\) analog LBN provided greater IOP-lowering compared with timolol over 3 months in patients with OAG and OHT. Reduction in IOP with LBN was sustained through 1 year. This novel monotherapy also has a safety profile comparable with that of PGAs, with mild-to-moderate ocular AEs, and without serious systemic side effects.
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